Table 2. Atom displacements from the best planes of the
phenylene rings in 2-methoxyphenothiazine

| Plane 1 |  | Plane 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S* | $0 \cdot 172$ (3) | S* | $0 \cdot 123$ (3) |
| N* | 0.024 (7) | N* | 0.027 (7) |
| C(1) | -0.014 (9) | C(7) | -0.008 (8) |
| C(2) | 0.019 (9) | C(8) | 0.003 (9) |
| C(3) | -0.006 (9) | C(9) | -0.002 (10) |
| C(4) | -0.025 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 010$ (11) |
| C(5) | 0.017 (9) | C(11) | -0.014 (9) |
| C(6) | -0.003 (9) | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 0.014 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O}^{*}$ | 0.045 (7) | H(N)* | 0.013 |
| C(13)* | 0.26 (1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N})^{*}$ | 0.077 |  |  |
| $\chi^{2}=5 \cdot 4$ |  | $\chi^{2}=3.3$ |  |

calculation which excludes the N and S atoms gives more planar values, indicating the small displacement of the S and N atoms from the phenylene planes is always toward the outside of the fold.

All of the bond distances and bond angles of the $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{SN}$ phenothiazine framework are within the range of values found for a number of other phenothiazine structures, and the methoxy group parameters parallel those of a methoxyphenothiazine drug molecule (Phelps \& Cordes, 1974). As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1 , the C and O atoms of the methoxy group are nearly coplanar with the phenylene ring to which the group is attached.

The crystal packing does not result in any intermolecular contacts shorter than the sum of the van der

Waals radii for the atoms involved, with the minor exception of the $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N})-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ (at $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$ ) distance of $2.55 \AA$ which is $0.15 \AA$ shorter than the nonbonding distance; the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ distance of $3.51 \AA$ is considerably longer than the separation usually attributed to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond (Pimentel \& McClellan, 1960), however.
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#### Abstract

C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\); monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1} / c, \quad a=$ $11 \cdot 371(23), b=7.741(11), c=8 \cdot 245(18) \AA, \beta=60 \cdot 8(2)^{\circ}$; $D_{c}=1.40, D_{x}=1.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=2$. The structure, refined to $R_{1}=0.065$, shows considerable steric strain, which may be connected with the oncolytic activity of the compound.

Introduction. Colorless crystals of the title compound, recrystallized from water, were obtained from P. G. Huffman, Abbott Laboratory, Chicago, Illinois. Systematic absences $0 k 0, k=2 n+1$, and $h 0 l, l=2 n+1$ uniquely identified the space group as $P 2_{1} / c$. Two axes of diffraction data $(a, b)$ were collected with Nifiltered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation on an automated SupperPace diffractometer possessing Weissenberg geometry.


After Lp corrections the data were correlated (Simpson, 1963) to give 1092 independent reflections, 750 of which were considered observed, having $I>2 \sigma(I)$ (Parker, Flynn \& Boer, 1968). An additional 152 highangle reflections accessible with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation were not measured owing to physical limitations of the diffractometer.
The Cl position was located in the Patterson map and then confirmed by direct methods employing the 154 reflections with $E>1.45$ and MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971). Reflections in the starting set were $112,012,11 \overline{1}, 224,800,124$ and 434 with the first three used for origin specification. The positions of the other seven non-hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit were also located in the $E$ map computed with
the set of phases showing the highest figure of merit. A structure factor calculation based on these eight positions resulted in $R_{1}=0.291$.

Full-matrix least-squares refinement of the positions and anisotropic temperature factors of the nonhydrogen atoms with ORFLS (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962) reduced $R_{1}$ to 0.099 . A difference map revealed the positions of all eight H atoms. Four additional cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of all positional and thermal parameters (individual isotropic temperature factors for H ) produced a final $R_{1}=0.065$ and $w R_{1}=0.063$. The final $R_{1}$ for all measured data (including zero-intensity reflections) is $0 \cdot 104 . R_{1}$ and $w R_{1}$ are defined by $R_{1}=\sum| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| /$ $\sum\left|F_{o}\right|$ and $w R_{\mathrm{t}}=\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \sum w\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$. The weighting scheme $w=1 / \sigma_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}$ was employed. The scattering factors were those given in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1968). A final difference map revealed no peaks exceeding 0.27 e $\AA^{-3}$. The final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters are given in Table 1.*

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31102 ( 9 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.


Fig. 1. An $O R T E P$ II (Johnson, 1970) drawing of $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-(3-chloropropionyl)piperazine with thermal ellipsoids representing $50 \%$ probability.

Discussion. Like $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-(3-bromopropionyl)piperazine (Olansky \& Moncrief, 1972), this substance has oncolytic activity against certain leukemias, lymphomas and solid tumors (Stein, Carben, Langden \& Richards, 1960; Bond, Rohn, Hodes \& Yardley, 1962; McNair, Wibin, Hoppe, Schmidt \& De Peyster, 1963). Two possible mechanisms of action are alkylation (Emmelot, 1964) involving the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond and acylation (Moncrief \& Heller, 1967) involving the amide group.

Fig. 1 is an ORTEP II (Johnson, 1970) drawing of the molecule. Final values of bond distances and angles and their estimated standard errors [ORFFE (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1964)] are given in Table 2

Table 2. Interatomic distances and angles for $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-(3-chloropropionyl)- and $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-(3bromopropionyl)piperazine

|  | Chloro | Bromo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.782 (6) $\AA$ | 2.002 (13) $\AA$ A |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1 \cdot 482$ (7) | $1 \cdot 485$ (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.505 (7) | $1 \cdot 511$ (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.263 (6) | $1 \cdot 211$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 1.316 (6) | $1 \cdot 345$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.498 (6) | $1 \cdot 456$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1 \cdot 461$ (6) | $1 \cdot 464$ (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.515 (8) | $1 \cdot 519$ (18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 A)$ | 0.914 (62) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 B)$ | 0.866 (62) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 A)$ | $0 \cdot 856$ (61) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 B)$ | 0.939 (62) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 A)$ | 1.069 (56) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 B)$ | 1.054 (59) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 A)$ | 0.989 (58) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 B)$ | $0 \cdot 956$ (62) |  |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $112.2(4)^{\circ}$ | 112.8 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 113.0 (5) | $111 \cdot 1$ (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 118.4 (4) | $121 \cdot 8$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $120 \cdot 4$ (4) | $114 \cdot 5$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 121.2 (4) | $123 \cdot 6$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $124 \cdot 9$ (4) | 128.4 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 122.0 (4) | 118.0 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $113 \cdot 1$ (4) | 112.0 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $110 \cdot 5$ (4) | 109.6 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $110 \cdot 9$ (4) | $111 \cdot 1$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 A)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 B)$ | 114.8 (5.5) |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 A)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 B)$ | 109.9 (4.1) |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 A)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 B)$ | $111 \cdot 0$ (4.2) |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(8 A)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 B)$ | 118.4 (4.6) |  |


|  | Table 1. Final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters$T=\exp \left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{12} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right) \times 10^{-4}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 1.0722 (1) | $0 \cdot 2458$ (2) | $0 \cdot 3654$ (2) | 108 (1) | 278 (3) | 420 (4) | 31 (2) | -117(2) | -30 (3) |
| C(2) | 0.9146 (5) | $0 \cdot 1754$ (8) | $0 \cdot 3917$ (9) | 106 (5) | 267 (11) | 302 (12) | 26 (6) | -73 (7) | -16 (9) |
| C(3) | $0 \cdot 8159$ (4) | $0 \cdot 3183$ (6) | $0 \cdot 4456$ (8) | 96 (5) | 219 (9) | 242 (10) | 10 (5) | -58(6) | -11(8) |
| C(4) | $0 \cdot 6831$ (4) | $0 \cdot 2622$ (6) | $0 \cdot 4641$ (5) | 85 (4) | 183 (11) | 230 (8) | -6(5) | -34 (5) | 2 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0 \cdot 6708$ (3) | $0 \cdot 1074$ (5) | $0 \cdot 4261$ (6) | 112 (4) | 216 (8) | 466 (11) | 9 (4) | -98(5) | -32 (7) |
| N (6) | $0 \cdot 5827$ (3) | $0 \cdot 3724$ (5) | $0 \cdot 5193$ (6) | 80 (4) | 202 (8) | 329 (9) | 12 (4) | -80 (5) | -23 (6) |
| C(7) | $0 \cdot 5867$ (5) | $0 \cdot 5565$ (6) | 0.5725 (7) | 113 (5) | 189 (11) | 360 (15) | 20 (5) | -114(8) | -56 (9) |
| C(8) | $0 \cdot 4527$ (5) | $0 \cdot 3229$ (8) | $0 \cdot 5378$ (9) | 85 (4) | 228 (10) | 371 (16) | 3 (5) | -78(7) | -17 (10) |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 A)$ | $0 \cdot 8793$ (62) | 0.0948 (78) | $0 \cdot 4844$ (84) | $3 \cdot 7$ (1.2) | $\mathrm{H}(7 A)$ | 0.5151 (59) | 0.5448 (67) | 0.7168 (79) | $3 \cdot 7$ (1.1) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 B)$ | 0.9326 (59) | $0 \cdot 1377$ (77) | $0 \cdot 2832$ (85) | $4 \cdot 5$ (1.5) | H (7B) | $0 \cdot 6834$ (58) | 0.5848 (68) | 0.5531 (69) | $2 \cdot 5$ (0.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 A)$ | 0.8004 (59) | $0 \cdot 3595$ (78) | 0.5505 (85) | $4 \cdot 9$ (1.6) | $\mathrm{H}(8 A)$ | $0 \cdot 3867$ (63) | 0.3314 (71) | $0 \cdot 6717$ (81) | $4 \cdot 3$ (1-2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $0 \cdot 8694$ (62) | $0 \cdot 3956$ (78) | 0.3500 (80) | 6.0 (1.6) | $\mathrm{H}(8 B)$ | $0 \cdot 4682$ (59) | $0 \cdot 2123$ (80) | $0 \cdot 4792$ (76) | $6 \cdot 6$ (1.9) |



Fig. 2. A stereoscopic drawing (Johnson, 1970) of the contents of the unit cell. The horizontal axis is $a$ and the vertical axis is $b$.
with the bromo-derivative values for comparison. Relative to $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-(3-bromopropionyl)piperazine, a longer $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ bond ( 1.263 to $1.211 \AA$ ) with a shorter $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ bond ( 1.316 to $1.345 \AA$ ), a smaller $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ angle ( $124 \cdot 9$ to $128.4^{\circ}$ ) with a larger $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ angle ( 122.0 to $118 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ ) all indicate more conjugation in the amide portion of the chloro compound. The amide groups possess only small deviations from planarity: the angle between the plane defined by $\mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{O}(5)$ and $\mathrm{N}(6)$ and the plane of $C(4), N(6), C(7)$ and $C(8)$ is $1^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$. The equations of and the atomic displacements from several calculated least-squares planes are given in Table 3. Perfect coplanarity is not possible because the distances between $\mathrm{H}(3 A), \mathrm{H}(3 B)$ and $\mathrm{H}(7 A), \mathrm{H}(7 B)$ and the distance between $\mathrm{O}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(8 B)$ in the coplanar case would be shorter than the accepted van der Waals distance of $2 \cdot 4 \AA$.

Fig. 2 is a stereoscopic drawing (Johnson, 1970) of

Table 3. Calculated least-squares planes and atomic displacements
Equations of least-squares planes (Cartesian coordinates)
(a) $0.1678 X-0.2598 Y+0.9510 Z=4.2670$
(b) $0.1601 X-0.2782 Y+0.9471 Z=4.1386$
(c) $0.1645 X-0.2703 Y+0 \cdot 9486 Z=4 \cdot 2067$

Atomic displacements from least-squares planes $(\AA)$

|  | $(a)$ | $(b)$ | $(c)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 0.0315 | 0.0095 | 0.0204 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.0710 | 0.0712 | 0.0707 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.005^{*}$ | -0.0142 | $-0.0094^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-0.0017^{*}$ | $0.0021^{*}$ | $-0.005^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.0006^{*}$ | 0.0298 | $0.0140^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}(6)$ | $0.0006^{*}$ | $-0.0057^{*}$ | $-0.0071^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.0378 | $0.0018^{*}$ | $0.0134^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -0.0092 | $0.0019^{*}$ | $-0.0085^{*}$ |

* Atoms defining least-squares planes.
the contents of the unit cell. The molecules are packed alternately parallel to two diagonals $x y$ and $\bar{x} y$ with half unit translation in the $z$ direction.
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